

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

600 CONGRESS AVENUE, SUITE 2400 1635 AUSTIN, TX 78701	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
Thomas M. Boyce WHITEMAN, BRIAN A FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P. A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP 600 CONGRESS AVENUE, SUITE 2400 AUSTIN, TX 78701 WHITEMAN, BRIAN A ART UNIT PAPER NUM 1635	09/927,091	08/09/2001	Ann Killary	UTSC:651US	4158
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P. A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP 600 CONGRESS AVENUE, SUITE 2400 AUSTIN, TX 78701 ART UNIT PAPER NUM 1635	7590 08/03/2004			EXAMINER	
A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP 600 CONGRESS AVENUE, SUITE 2400 AUSTIN, TX 78701 ART UNIT PAPER NUM 1635	Thomas M. Boyce			WHITEMAN, BRIAN A	
600 CONGRESS AVENUE, SUITE 2400 1635 AUSTIN, TX 78701	FULBRIGHT &	JAWORSKI L.L.P.			
AUSTIN, TX 78701	A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	600 CONGRESS AVENUE, SUITE 2400			1635	
DATE MAILED: 00/02/2004	AUSTIN, TX	78701		DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9	Ì
Ò	j

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/927,091	KILLARY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Brian Whiteman	1635		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Theref final re conditi	EPLY FILED 12 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a ection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) ∑	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	n
fee have fee unde (2) as se	insions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or the form of the final control of the final control of the final rejection, even if set, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1
1;.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 67 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2.🛛	he proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a)		
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	
. (d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
:	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	
5.🛛	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
: -	he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
	Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-6,24-33,44 and 97</u> .	
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>17</u> .	
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>7</u> .	
į	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>18-23,34-43 and 45-96</u> .	
8.	he drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10.🛛	Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	
	RAM R. SHUKLA, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 7 and the proposed addition of new claims 101 and 102 would require a new search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are moot because the arguments were based on a proposed amendment that was not entered.

Continuation of 10. Other: The status of claim 96 (original) in the amendment filed on 3/26/04 is incorrect and applicants were notified of the incorrect status in the prior office action mailed on 5/12/04.

RAM R. SHUKLA, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER